

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:
M. Ikeda

Confirmation No. 5252

Serial No: 09/986,412

Group Art Unit: 2617

Filed: 11/08/2001

Examiner: R. Peaches

For: Mobile Terminal Device and Method of Updating Program

Box: STATUS INQUIRY
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SECOND STATUS INQUIRY

Sir:

Please provide the undersigned with a statement of the status of the above-identified patent application and the approximate date another Office Action can be expected. A previous status inquiry was filed July 12, 2007 but no reply has been received.

In a communication dated May 7, 2007 (copy attached) resulting from a telephone conference with the Examiner on April 30, 2007, the Examiner wrote:

"The applicant respectfully brought to the Examiner's attention that claims 10-20 were inadvertently not addressed in the Office Action dated February 9, 2007. Therefore, the Examiner will withdraw the Office Action and resubmit another action."

No further action has been received; therefore a status report is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



C. Lamont Whitham
Reg. No. 22,424

Date: Aug. 10, 2007
Whitham, Curtis, Christofferson & Cook, PC
11491 Sunset Hills Road - #340
Reston, VA 20190
703/787-9400
Customer No. 30743



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

01450015AA

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09/986,412	11/08/2001	Masahisa Ikeda	PF-2905/NEC/US	5252
30743 7590 05/22/2007 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190				
EXAMINER PEACHES, RANDY				
ART UNIT 2617		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 05/22/2007		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/986,412

Applicant(s)

IKEDA, MASAHISA

Examiner

Randy Peaches

Art Unit

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Randy Peaches

(3) _____

(2) C. Lamant Whitham

(4) _____

Date of Interview 30 April 2007

Type a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No

If Yes, brief description _____

Claim(s) discussed 10-20

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant respectfully brought to the Examiner's attention that claims 10-20 were inadvertently not addressed in the office action dated 2/9/2007. Therefore, the Examiner will withdraw the office action and resubmit another action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet

JEAN GE

EXAMINER F

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Jean Ge
Examiner's signature, if required